



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/137,491	08/21/98	WEINGER	E 9789.3801

BARRY L HALEY
MAIN HALEY DIMAGGIO & CROSBY
ONE EAST BROWARD BOULEVARD SUITE 1609
FORT LAUDERDALE FL 33301

MM22/0131

EXAMINER

HOBDEN, P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED:

01/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/137,491	Applicant(s) WEINGER, ELLIOTT B.	
	Examiner Pamela R. Hobden	Art Unit 2876	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 1998.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 1998 is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☐ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____.
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 17) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 15) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 18) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 16) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 19) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

3. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Art Unit: 2876

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilk.

Wilk teaches a system for combining patient data on with medical diagnostic equipment. (Column 5, lines 7-57) that utilizes a computer (fig. 4, item 68) to accept digital images from a diagnostic machine such as an x-ray, through a digitizer, (66a-c, 70a-n), incorporates them with patient data through the use of an input device, 72, (column 4, line 56-column 5 line 30), a printer, 76, a display device, 74, a storage device, (64 and specifically, 82, column 7, lines 40-45), a modem, (Fig. 6, item 116), and telecommunication devices, (62A and 62B).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2876

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-3,5,7 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilk in view of Godlewski et al. Wilk's teachings are as described above. Wilk fails to teach a system that specifically utilizes a high-resolution printer and monitor, and while specifying a computer, 24, in figure 1, he doesn't specifically mention the incorporation of the medical data with the image, but rather specifies that it be sent to an output device, 30, which in fig. 1, he identifies as a printer.

Godlewski teaches a Digital radiographic image quality control workstation, which incorporates a high resolution graphics printer (fig 4 item 216) and monitor (figure 4, item 204), as well as the combination of patient data with image storage. Both method and system are discussed in Wilk and Godlewski's teachings, with various portions of method of use being inherent in the design and structure of the information management devices as described. Godlewski also teaches the use of a storage medium, (column 7, lines 65-column 8, line 6), similar to Wilk's use of a storage medium. Godlewski's teachings would be obvious additions to one skilled in the art, as the intricacies of the images potentially to be examined would demand the clarity of a high definition monitor and printer if an image were to be printed for later use. It also would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the patient data with the image presented on the screen, if one were to assume that the computer (24) in Fig. 1 of Wilk

Art Unit: 2876

did incorporate a monitor. Therefore, such modifications would have been an obvious extension as taught by Wilk.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilk as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wright et al. The teachings of Wilk have been discussed above. Wilk fails to teach a device that specifically utilizes a facsimile machine as a data transfer device.

Wright et al. teaches a device whose primary function is an open architecture cardiology information system. He fails to specifically disclose the above system as being designed for X-ray collection and processing. Wright's system takes digital data, (via the scanner,) and inputs it into the system.

Wright teaches the addition of a facsimile device, specifically mentioned as one telecommunications device. (See figure 4) This modification would be obvious to one skilled in the art. A facsimile machine typically has lower resolution graphics than what would be available in a electronically transferred image file visible through either a high resolution graphics monitor or a high resolution graphics printer. However, it is an option readily available to the user as a "quick look". As Wilk does mention the use of telecommunication devices in his system, this option is not precluded in the functionality of his teachings.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Hobden whose telephone number is (703)-


Art Unit: 2876

306-5435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, Alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Hajec can be reached on (703)-308-4075. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-7382 for regular communications and (703)-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

prh
January 27, 2000


David P. Porta
Primary Examiner